

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Robert D. Goodman,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	C.A. No. 4:04-976-HMH-TER
)	
vs.)	OPINION AND ORDER
)	
Jo Anne Barnhart, Commissioner of)	
Social Security,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Thomas E. Rogers, III, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02 DSC.¹ Robert D. Goodman (“Goodman”) seeks judicial review of the Commissioner of Social Security’s (“Commissioner”) denial of his application for disability insurance benefits (“DIB”) under Title II of the Social Security Act. In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends remanding the case pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

Goodman filed a document titled “Objections to Report and Recommendation by Plaintiff.” Objections to the Report and Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a party’s right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is accepted by the district judge. See United States v.

¹ The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir. 1984). In the absence of *specific* objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, Goodman does not object to the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. Therefore, after a thorough review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the court adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that the Commissioner's decision be remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Henry M. Herlong, Jr.
United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina
June 14, 2005